



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/727,976

12/05/2003

Choon B. Shim

QOVI-001/00US

7230

22903 7590 11/26/2007  
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP  
ATTN: PATENT GROUP  
Suite 1100  
777 - 6th Street, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20001

EXAMINER

SMITH, JOSHUA Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2619

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/26/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/727,976	Applicant(s) SHIM ET AL.	
	Examiner Joshua Smith	Art Unit 2619	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Joshua Smith. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Theodore C. McCullough. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 19 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,5,14,15 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Amendments to overcome rejections were discussed. Applicant stated he will submit claims that he believes will overcome prior art references used in rejections. Applicant stated that he believes there is the possibility of improper combinations of references in rejections under 35 USC 103 in the last office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
 HASSAN KIZOU  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required